arXiv:1703.02793v1 [math.AT] 8 Mar 2017

DECOMPOSITION OF PERVERSE SHEAVES ON PLANE LINE ARRANGEMENTS

RIKARD BØGVAD AND IARA GONÇALVES

Abstract. On the complement $X = \mathbb{C}^2 - \bigcup_{i=1}^n L_i$ to a central plane line arrangement $\bigcup_{i=1}^n L_i \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, a locally constant sheaf of complex vector spaces $\mathcal{L}_a$ is associated to any multi-index $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Using the description of MacPherson and Vilonen of the category of perverse sheaves ([9] and [10]) we obtain a criterion for the irreducibility and number of decomposition factors of the direct image $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a$ as a perverse sheaf, where $j : X \to \mathbb{C}^2$ is the canonical inclusion.

1. Introduction

Recall that the category of perverse sheaves is an abelian category where each object has a finite decomposition series. The aim of this work is to analyze the irreducible factors in a decomposition series of a direct image of a rank 1 locally constant sheaf, $\mathcal{L}_a$. To define $\mathcal{L}_a$, consider a central line arrangement $S := \bigcup_{i=1}^n L_i \subset \mathbb{C}^2$. Denote by j the affine inclusion $U := \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus S \to \mathbb{C}^2$. A locally constant sheaf (of complex vector spaces) on U is given by a complex representation of $\pi_1(U)$, a group that is generated by loops around L_i. Hence, to each multi-index $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there is associated a rank 1 sheaf $\mathcal{L}_a$, where a_i is the result of the action of a loop around L_i. Our main result is a description of the number of decomposition factors of the perverse sheaf $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a$ in terms of a; in particular we give a criterion for irreducibility.

Theorem 1.1. *The perverse sheaf $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a$, where $j : \mathbb{C}^2 - \cup_{i=1}^n L_i \to \mathbb{C}^2$, is irreducible if, and only if, both of the following conditions are satisfied:*

- $a_i \neq 1$, *for all* $i = 1, \ldots, n$;
- $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i \neq 1$.

The proof of this theorem and the related results on the number of decomposition facors, is contained in section 3. It proceeds by using the quiver methods developed by MacPherson and Vilonen in [9], and [10]; these are recalled and adapted to our case in section 2. The proof, ending in an intricate determinant computation, gives i.a. a quiver description of the irreducible factors of $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a$, that may also be of interest. By the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, the theorem relates

to a similar assertion of D-modules, which was treated by Abebaw-Bøgvad in [1], Theorem 1.3. Our original motivation for this project was the hope that the proofs of that paper, which use totally different techniques, would be simplified in the perverse setting. A secondary motivation was to provide an explicit accessible example of computations with the quiver description given in the above references.

A generalization of the irreducibility conditions to arbitrary arrangements was presented by Budur et al. in the recent [3].

1.1. **Introductory example.** A basic example of what we intend to do for plane arrangements is the following (wellknown) result. Let X be a path-connected topological space and $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ be its fundamental group with base point x_0. The monodromy representation of $\pi_1(X, x_0)$ on the stalk $\mathcal{L}_{x_0}$, defines an equivalence between the category of locally constant sheaves $\mathcal{L}$ on a space X and the category of finite dimensional complex representations of the fundamental group of X.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^* = \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$ with the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*) = \{n\gamma, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$, where γ is a loop going around 0 once starting in $x_0 = 1$. A locally constant sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of rank 1 on $\mathbb{C}^*$ is classified, up to isomorphism, by the element $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, such that for the monodromy representation $\gamma\mathbf{e} = \alpha\mathbf{e}$, where $\mathbb{C}\mathbf{e}$ is the stalk of $\mathcal{L}$ at $1 \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Denote by $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$ the rank 1 sheaf corresponding to $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Consider the inclusion $j : \mathbb{C}^* \to \mathbb{C}$. Denote by $\mathcal{S}k$ the skyscraper sheaf at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$, defined by having its only non-trivial stalk equal $\mathbb{C}$ at $0 \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proposition 1.2. (1) *If $\alpha \neq 1$ then*

$$j_!\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cong R^0 j_*\mathcal{L}_\alpha \cong Rj_*\mathcal{L}_\alpha$$

are isomorphic and irreducible perverse sheaves in the derived category of sheaves $D_{\mathbb{C}}$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

(2) *If $\alpha = 1$, so that $\mathcal{L}_1$ is a constant sheaf on $\mathbb{C}^*$, there are short exact sequences of perverse sheaves*

$$\mathcal{S}k[-1] \hookrightarrow j_!\mathcal{L}_1 \twoheadrightarrow R^0 j_*\mathcal{L}_1$$

and

$$R^0 j_*\mathcal{L}_1 \hookrightarrow Rj_*\mathcal{L}_1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{S}k[-1].$$

Furthermore $\mathcal{S}k[-1]$ and $R^0 j_*\mathcal{L}_1$ are irreducible perverse sheaves.

For more details and a proof, see Gonçalves [6]; in particular the proof given there just uses the definition of perverse sheaves.

Our main reference on perverse sheaves is the work by Beilinson, Bernstein and Deligne, [2]. We have also used the papers of Massey, [11], and Rietsch, [12]. Throughout we only consider the middle perversity. In contrast to [2] we use the convention that a locally constant sheaf placed in homological degree 0 is perverse. This amounts to a shift of the derived category by dim X.

It is due to P. Deligne that we have a quiver description of irreducible perverse sheaves. This idea was developed and discussed in more detail by R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen in [8], [9] and [10]. Our next section is based on these last papers.

2. Preliminaries

We describe, following [9], a category $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ that is equivalent to the category of perverse sheaves, $\mathcal{M}(X)$ on a stratified space X. The idea is roughly that the category of perverse sheaves is glued from the category of perverse sheaves $\mathcal{A}$ on an open strata of maximal dimension, and the category of perverse sheaves $\mathcal{B}$ on the complement.

2.1. **Categorical construction.** The initial definitions as stated in an abstract setting in [9] are as follows: consider two categories $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$, two functors F and G from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$, and a natural transformation T from F to G. Symbolically $F, G : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ and $F \xrightarrow{T} G$. Define the category $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ to be the category whose objects are pairs $(A, B) \in Obj\mathcal{A} \times Obj\mathcal{B}$ together with a commutative triangle

(1)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} FA & \xrightarrow{T_A} & GA \\ & {\scriptstyle m}\searrow \quad \nearrow{\scriptstyle n} & \\ & B & \end{array}$$

and whose morphisms are pairs $(a, b) \in Mor\mathcal{A} \times Mor\mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} FA & & \xrightarrow{T_A} & & GA \\ & {\scriptstyle m}\searrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle n} & \\ {\scriptstyle Fa}\downarrow & & B & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle Ga} \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle b} & & \\ FA' & & \xrightarrow{T_{A'}} & & GA' \\ & {\scriptstyle m'}\searrow & & \nearrow{\scriptstyle n'} & \\ & & B' & & \end{array}$$

Proposition 2.1. *([9], pp. 405) If $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are abelian categories and if F is right exact and if G is left exact, then the category $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ is abelian and the functors taking $(A, B) \mapsto A$ and $(A, B) \mapsto B$ from $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ to $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are exact.*

In this context we have the following canonical functors from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$, $\widehat{F}$, $\widehat{G}$ and $\widehat{T}$ given, respectively, by:

(2)



It is easy to characterize the irreducible objects in $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$.

Proposition 2.2. *([13], pp.666) Irreducible objects in $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ are either of the form $\widehat{T}(L)$, where L is an irreducible object in $\mathcal{A}$, or of the form*



where L is irreducible in $\mathcal{B}$. Conversely, all such objects are irreducible.

2.2. **Application to perverse sheaves.** Let X be a complex manifold with a given Whitney stratification $\mathcal{S}$. We now sketch how the above construction applies to the category $\mathcal{M}(X)$ of perverse sheaves with respect to $\mathcal{S}$(for details, see [9], Section 5).

Let S be a closed stratum of complex dimension d. Denote

$$\Lambda_S = T^*_S X \qquad \text{and} \qquad \tilde{\Lambda}_S = \Lambda_S - \bigcup_{R \neq S} \overline{T^*_R X},$$

where $T^*_S X$ is the cotangent bundle of X at S and the union is over the other strata R. We have:

- the right exact functor $\psi : \mathcal{M}(X - S) \to \{\text{local systems in } \tilde{\Lambda}_S\}$, called the nearby cycles;
- the left exact functor $\psi_c : \mathcal{M}(X - S) \to \{\text{local systems in } \tilde{\Lambda}_S\}$, called the nearby cycles with compact support;
- a functor $\Phi : \mathcal{M}(X) \to \{\text{local systems in } \tilde{\Lambda}_S\}$, called the vanishing cycles;
- a natural transformation $var : \psi \to \psi_c$, called the variation.

We will later see how these functors are calculated in our plane case.

From this we can build a category $C := \mathcal{C}(\psi, \psi_c, var)$, where the categories earlier denoted by $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are, respectively, the category of perverse sheaves on $X - S$ and the category of local systems in $\tilde{\Lambda}_S$.

Starting with a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet \in M(X)$, there is an associated diagram (1) in C, with $A = j^*P^\bullet$, $B = \Phi(P^\bullet)$. This actually gives an embedding of $M(X)$ in C:

Proposition 2.3. *([9, Thm 5.3]) $M(X)$ is equivalent to a subcategory $\tilde{M}(X)$ of $\mathcal{C}(\psi, \psi_c, var)$. In addition, $\tilde{M}(X)$ is closed under taking subobjects.*

Proof. The only statement not in [9, Thm 5.3], is the last statement, which follows from the observation that the condition that describes $\tilde{M}(X)$ is invariant with respect to taking subobjects. Let $C : \psi A \to \Phi A \to \psi_c A$ (with maps m and n, respectively) be an object of of $\mathcal{C}(\psi, \psi_c, var)$ that corresponds to a perverse sheaf. Given $\alpha \in \pi_1(p^{-1}x)$ where p is the projection $\tilde{\Lambda}_S \to S$ there is defined a natural transformation $I_\alpha : \psi_c \to \psi$, and the condition is that for the monodromy action μ_α on ΦA the identity

$$\mu_\alpha - 1 = m \circ I_\alpha \circ n \tag{3}$$

holds. Now it is easy to see that for a subobject $\psi A' \to \Phi A' \to \psi_c A'$ of C the map $\Phi A' \to \Phi A$ is an injection. Hence if (3) holds for ΦA it will hold for $\Phi A'$.

□

We have three functorial ways of extending a perverse sheaf $P^\bullet \in \mathcal{M}(X - S)$ to an object in $\mathcal{M}(X)$. The corresponding element in the category $\mathcal{C}(F, G; T)$ can be very nicely described. Let $F = \psi$, $G = \psi_c$ and $T = var$.

Proposition 2.4. *(see [9], pp.418) The functors ${}^p j_! P^\bullet$, ${}^p j_{!*} P^\bullet$ and ${}^p j_* P^\bullet$ correspond, respectively, to the functors $\widehat{F}$,$\widehat{T}$ and $\widehat{G}$ (defined in (2)). That is, they are given as the following factorizations of $T : FP^\bullet \to GP^\bullet$:*

- ${}^p j_! P^\bullet$: $FP^\bullet \xrightarrow{id} FP^\bullet \xrightarrow{T} GP^\bullet$;
- ${}^p j_{!*} P^\bullet$: $FP^\bullet \twoheadrightarrow im(T) \hookrightarrow GP^\bullet$;
- ${}^p j_* P^\bullet$: $FP^\bullet \xrightarrow{T} GP^\bullet \xrightarrow{id} GP^\bullet$.

2.3. **The category $\mathcal{C}(\psi, \psi_c, var)$ in the plane case.** MacPherson and Vilonen [10] applied the previous ideas to the plane curve $S : y^m = x^n$. We will take $m = n$, so that S corresponds to a central arrangement of n lines $S_i = \{x - \epsilon_n^i y = 0\},\ i = 1, ..., n$ (where ϵ_n is a n:th primitive root of unity).

Consider the space $\mathbb{C}^2$ and the stratification $\{0\} \subset S \subset \mathbb{C}^2$, where $S = \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i$. This is a Whitney stratification. We will first give a description of $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})$. Define $\Lambda = T^*_{\mathbb{C}^2}\mathbb{C}^2 \cup \overline{T^*_S \mathbb{C}^2} - \{0\} \cup T^*_{\{0\}}\mathbb{C}^2$.

The standard hermitian metric allows us to identify $T^*_{S_i}\mathbb{C}^2$ (the cotangent bundle) with $T_{S_i}\mathbb{C}^2$ (the tangent bundle). Let U_i be a neighborhood of the zero-section of $T^*_{S_i}\mathbb{C}^2$ and V_i a neighborhood of S_i in $\mathbb{C}^2$.

By the tubular neighborhood theorem there exists a diffeomorphism between U_i and V_i. If A is a local system in $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$, for each $\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i}$, we can define a local system $\tilde{A}_i$ obtained by the pullback of the following maps between fundamental groups:

$$\pi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i}) \overset{\cong}{\leftarrow} \pi_1(U_i - S_i) \overset{\cong}{\leftarrow} \pi_1(V_i - S_i) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S).$$

Noticing that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i} = S_i \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and considering the projection $\pi : \tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i} \to S_i$, we observe that $\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i}$ is a trivial $\mathbb{C}^*$-bundle over S_i. Thus, we get an isomorphism $\pi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i}) \cong \pi_1(S_i) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$, by choosing a section of the bundle. From now on we will assume that this section and the base point are fixed. Let γ_i be the generator of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ in $\pi_1(\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i})$ and Γ_i be the image of γ_i in $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$. If A is a local system in $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$, then A is a perverse sheaf in $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$. In this situation, we get:

Lemma 2.5. *(*[10, Lemma 1.1]*) We have $\psi(A) = \psi_c(A) = \tilde{A}_i$ on $\tilde{\Lambda}_{S_i}$ and the variation map $var : \tilde{A}_i \to \tilde{A}_i$ is given by $var(a) = \gamma_i(a) - a$.*

From this result we see that the local system A on $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$ gives rise to an object in the category $Q_\Lambda = \mathcal{C}(\psi, \psi_c, var)$, consisting of the pairs $(\tilde{A}_i, B_i)$ (where B_i is a $\pi_1(S_i)$-module), and commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A}_i & \xrightarrow{\quad var \quad} & \tilde{A}_i \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & B_i & \end{array}$$

$i = 1, \ldots, n$.

All perverse sheaves can be described in this way:

Proposition 2.6. [10, Proposition 1.2.] *The category $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})$ is equivalent to Q_Λ.*

We know that the monodromy of the stalk at a fix point $x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^2 - S$ defines a functor from rank 1 local systems on $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$ to finite dimensional representations of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$ on the vector space $\mathbb{C}e$. Now we want to apply this result.

2.4. **Locally constant sheaves on $\mathbb{C}\backslash S$.** A presentation of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$ is given by $\langle \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \rangle / R$, where R is the subgroup of the free group $\langle \Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_n \rangle$ generated by the relations $\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 \ldots \Gamma_n = \Gamma_2 \ldots \Gamma_n\Gamma_1 = \Gamma_n\Gamma_1 \ldots \Gamma_{n-1}$. Observing that an action of $\pi_1(\mathbb{C}^2 - S)$ on a vector space $\mathbb{C}e$ is given by $\{\Gamma_i e = a_i e\}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and that then automatically $\Gamma_1\Gamma_2 \ldots \Gamma_n e = \Gamma_i\Gamma_{i+1} \ldots \Gamma_{i-1} e = \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i e$, we see that there is a bijective correspondence between local system of rank 1 in $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$ and multi-indices $a = (a_i, \ldots, a_n)$ in $\mathbb{C}^n$. We denote the locally constant sheaf on $\mathbb{C}^2 - S$ corresponding to a by $\mathcal{L}_a$.

The pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_a$ to $V_i - S_i \subset \mathbb{C}^2 - S$ will be a locally constant sheaf of rank 1 and corresponds to a representation of $\pi_1(V - S_i) =$

$\pi_1(S_i) \times \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ on a one-dimensional complex space, $\tilde{A}_i \cong \mathbb{C}e_i$. The action of $\Gamma_i \in \pi_1(\mathbb{C}^*)$ is given by multiplication by $a_i : \Gamma_i e_i = a_i e_i$.

Hence, by Lemma 2.5, the variation map, $var : \tilde{A}_i \to \tilde{A}_i$, is given by multiplication by $a_i - 1$: $var(e_i) = \Gamma_i e_i - e_i = a_i e_i - e_i = (a_i - 1)e_i$. Thus, in our case, the variation is either an isomorphism, if $a_i \neq 1$, or 0, if $a_i = 1$.

In particular, considering the inclusions

$$\mathbb{C}^2 - S \xrightarrow{j^1} \mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\} \xrightarrow{j^2} \mathbb{C}^2$$

and applying the results of Proposition 2.4 we know that ${}^p j^1_* \tilde{A}_i$ can be represented as the set of diagram of the following form:

(4)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{A}_i = \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\quad a_i - 1 \quad} & \tilde{A}_i = \mathbb{C} \\ & {\scriptstyle p_i = a_i - 1} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle q_i = id} & \\ & B_i = \mathbb{C} & \end{array}$$

Note that B_i is determined by the condition that the diagram corresponds to a direct image ${}^p j^1_*$, since the map $B_i \to \tilde{A}_i$ is then the identity. Now we can prove our first result.

Proposition 2.7. *${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is irreducible in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})$ if, and only if, $a_i \neq 1$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.*

This result may be easily modified to give a proof of Proposition 1.2.

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, ${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ corresponds to the set of all diagrams (4) and, by Propostion 2.2, these diagrams represent irreducible objects exactly when $a_i - 1 \neq 0$, for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$. □

Now we want to calculate ${}^p j_* \mathcal{L}_a = {}^p j^2_*({}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a)$. We need the following result from [10], that we have modified to our situation ($m = n$, and a rank 1 bundle).

Lemma 2.8. [10, Lemma 2.2. and Lemma 2.3.] *Given an object $P^\bullet$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}^2 - \{0\})$, described by a set of diagrams (4) (so corresponding to a sheaf $\mathcal{L}_a$), we have:*

- $\psi(P^\bullet) = cok(A \xrightarrow{(p_1, \ldots, p_n)} B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_n)$;
- $\psi_c(P^\bullet) = ker(B_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus B_n \xrightarrow{(q_1, \ldots, q_n)} A)$.

The map $var : \psi(P^\bullet) \to \psi_c(P^\bullet)$ is given on $B_l = \mathbb{C}e_l$ by

$$var(e_l) = (-\Sigma_{i=l+1}^{l+n} p_i a_{i-1} \ldots a_{l+1} e_i) + \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i e_l - e_l.$$

Here $p_i = a_i - 1$. To read this formulae, note first that all the indices are considered as integers modulo n. Then, which is not explicit in [10], we have to make two conventions. First, if the sequence $a_{i-1} \ldots a_{l+1}$ is an increasing sequence (in indices, where this happens for $i = l + 1$) we consider that it is equal to 1. Second, for the same sequence, if we

have only two elements such that $a_{i-1} = a_{l+1}$(that is $i = l+2$), then we let $a_{i-1}...a_{l+1} = a_{l+1}$.

The map

$$\oplus B_i = \mathbb{C}^n \to \psi(\mathcal{L}_a) \xrightarrow{var} \psi_c(\mathcal{L}_a) \to \mathbb{C}^n = \oplus B_i \tag{5}$$

will then be given by the matrix $M_n = (d_{rc})$, $(r, c = 1, \ldots, n)$ where:

$$d_{rc} = \begin{cases} (1-a_r)\Pi_{i=1}^{r-1} a_i \Pi_{i=c+1}^{n} a_i, & \text{if} \quad r < c \\ -1 + \Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i/a_c, & \text{if} \quad r = c \\ (1-a_r)\Pi_{i=c+1}^{r-1} a_i, & \text{if} \quad r > c \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

(Here we have reverted to standard use of indices and products.)

Example 2.1. For $n = 4$, the matrix M_4 is given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} -1+a_2a_3a_4 & (1-a_1)a_3a_4 & (1-a_1)a_4 & 1-a_1 \\ 1-a_2 & -1+a_1a_3a_4 & (1-a_2)a_1a_4 & (1-a_2)a_1 \\ (1-a_3)a_2 & 1-a_3 & -1+a_1a_2a_4 & (1-a_3)a_1a_2 \\ (1-a_4)a_2a_3 & (1-a_4)a_3 & 1-a_4 & -1+a_1a_2a_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

3. Decomposition

3.1. **Irreducibility.** We will now prove Theorem 1.1. There is a homotopy equivalence of stratified spaces from the case of n arbitrary distinct lines through the origin to the situation of S as in Section 2.3. Hence we may assume that we are in that situation.

The computational part is the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $M'_{n-1} = M'_{n-1}(a_1, ..., a_n)$ be the matrix obtained from M_n in (6), by deleting the first column and the last row. Then,*

$$\det(M'_{n-1}) = (-1)^{n-1}(-1+a_1)(-1+\Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^{n-2}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1. We will do induction on n. For $n = 2$ the statement is a short calculation. Let $n > 2$ and compare the last two columns of the matrix M_{n+1}. Excluding the elements in the last two rows, columns n and $n+1$ only differ by a multiplicative factor (the factor a_{n+1} in column n). This means that, in the computation of $\det(M'_n)$, if we expand along the last row, all the minors, with the exception of the last two $D_{n,n-1}$ and $D_{n,n}$, are going to be zero. Note that the corresponding elements $d_{n,n-1} = -1 + a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}a_{n+1}$ and $d_{n,n} = (1-a_n)a_1 \cdots a_{n-1}$.

Comparing the matrix M'_{n-1} and the matrix M'_n we can see that:

- the last column of M'_{n-1} is identical to the last column of M'_n (excluding the elements in the last rows);
- for entries d_{rc} in M'_{n-1}, such that $r \leq c+1$, the last factor is a_n, while in M'_n it is a_{n+1} (with the exception of the last column, mentioned above);

- for the other entries there are no differences.

Now set $\tilde{a}_n = a_n a_{n+1}$. We can proceed to the computation of M'_n. We have seen that we have only two minors to consider, associated to the following entries:

- $d_{n,n-1}$: we observe that (considering the definition of $\tilde{a}_n$ above) the minor $D_{n,n-1}$ has the same entries as $M'_{n-1}(a_1, ..., \tilde{a}_n)$, therefore this minor is covered by the induction hypothesis;
- $d_{n,n}$: again we see that the the minor $D_{n,n}$ obtained differs from $M'_{n-1}(a_1, ..., \tilde{a}_n)$ only in its last column, where all the entries are multiplied by a_{n+1}, therefore its determinant is given by $a_{n+1} \det(M'_{n-1}(a_1, ..., \tilde{a}_n))$.

In conclusion, noting that by the induction hypothesis, $\det(M'_{n-1}(a_1, ..., \tilde{a}_n)) = (-1)^{n-1}(-1 + a_1)(-1 + \Pi_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i)^{n-2}$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \det(M'_n) = (-1)^{n+n-1} d_{n,n-1} \det(M'_{n-1}) + (-1)^{n+n} d_{n,n} a_{n+1} \det(M'_{n-1}) \\ = \; & ((-1)^n(-1 + \Pi_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i) \det(M'_{n-1}) \\ = \; & (-1)^n(-1 + a_1)(-1 + \Pi_{i=1}^{n+1} a_i)^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that the numerical conditions of the theorem hold, so that in particular $a_i \neq -1$, $i = 1, ..., n$. Then, by Proposition 2.7, $P^\bullet = {}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is irreducible, and described by the set of diagrams (4). Hence, according to Lemma 2.8, ${}^p j^2_* P^\bullet$ is described by the diagram

(7)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi(P^\bullet) & \xrightarrow{\quad var \quad} & \psi_c(P^\bullet) \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow_{=} & \\ & \Phi(P^\bullet) & \end{array}$$

Since also, by assumption, $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i \neq -1$, the preceding Lemma 3.1 implies that the rank of M'_{n-1} is $n-1$, and by (5) and Lemma 2.8, this implies that *var* is an isomorphism. By Proposition 2.2, the diagram (7) is irreducible, since it is of the form $\hat{T}(L)$. Hence we have proved that ${}^p j^2_*({}^p j_*{}^1 \mathcal{L}_a) = {}^p j_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is irreducible. But j is an affine morphism between affine varieties and so Rj_* is t-exact and consequently ${}^p j_* = Rj_*$ (see [2, Corollary 5.2.17]).

Conversely, assume that ${}^p j_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is irreducible. If, say $a_1 = 1$, then ${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is not irreducible by Proposition 2.7, and, since ${}^p j^2_*$ is t-exact (see [2, Proposition 1.4.16]), not ${}^p j_* \mathcal{L}_a$ either. Hence $a_i \neq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$. If then $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, *var* is not an isomorphism, and again, by Proposition 2.2, ${}^p j_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is not irreducible (note that we know by Lemma 2.8 that $\psi(P^\bullet)$ is non-zero).

□

3.2. **Decomposition Factors.** If the irreducibility conditions for ${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ and $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a$ are not satisfied, we can still ask for the number of decomposition factors of these objects. Let $c(P^\bullet)$ represent the number of decomposition factors of the perverse sheaf $P^\bullet$.

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that $a_1, \dots, a_k = 1$ and $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_n \neq 1$.*

- *If $k = n$, then $c(Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a) = 2n$.*
- *If $k < n$ and $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, then $c(Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a) = n + k - 1$.*
- *If $k < n$ and $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i \neq 1$, then $c(Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a) = k + 1$.*

As in the previous theorem we will use that $Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a = {}^p j^2_*({}^p j_*{}^1 \mathcal{L}_a)$.

3.2.1. *Decomposition of ${}^p j_*{}^1 \mathcal{L}_a$.* By Proposition 2.6, ${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ can be represented by the following diagram:



Here $A, B_i,\ i = 1, ..., n$ are copies of $\mathbb{C}^1$, and the uppermost arrow from A to A represents n arrows, making n commutative triangles.

Lemma 3.3. *If $a_1, \dots, a_k = 1$, according to Proposition 2.7, ${}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a$ is not irreducible and can be decomposed into D_I and D_{II}, such that*

$$D_I \to {}^p j^1_* \mathcal{L}_a \to D_{II}$$

is a short exact sequence.

D_I and D_{II} are represented, respectively, by the diagrams



and



Proof. The property of the diagram category that injections are exactly the maps that are injections on each of the vertices, and similarily for surjections, makes this clear. □

We say that the diagrams of the form that represent D_I are of type I, characterized by the fact that the two upper vertices are 0. Those of the form that represent D_{II}, where all diagonal maps are isomorphisms, are said to be of type II.

3.2.2. *Decomposition of ${}^p j^2_* D_I$.* We can use the description of how the functor ${}^p j^2_*$ is described in the diagram category, in Lemma 2.8 to describe what it does to the above diagrams.

Lemma 3.4. *Apply ${}^p j^2_*$ to D_I. Then:*

- $\psi(D_I)$: $coker(0 \xrightarrow{0,\dots,0} B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0) = \mathbb{C}^k = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$;
- $\psi_c(D_I)$: $ker(B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k \oplus 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \xrightarrow{0,\dots,0} 0) = \mathbb{C}^k = \langle e_1, \dots, e_k \rangle$:
- $var_I(e_l) = \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i e_l - e_l$ *(since $p_i = a_i - 1 = 0$, $i = 1, \dots, k$).*

(8)
$$
{}^p j^2_*(D_I): \qquad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}^k & \xrightarrow{var_I} & \mathbb{C}^k \\
& {\scriptstyle var_I} \searrow \quad \nearrow {\scriptstyle id} & \\
& \mathbb{C}^k &
\end{array}
$$

It is clear that ${}^p j^2_*(D_I)$ is not irreducible.

Lemma 3.5.
- *If $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, then $var_I = 0$ and $c({}^p j^2_*(D_I)) = 2k$.*
- *If $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i \neq 1$, then var_I is represented by the order k matrix*

(9)
$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-1 + \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
0 & -1 + \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i & \dots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \dots & -1 + \Pi_{i=1}^n a_i
\end{bmatrix}
$$

and $c({}^p j^2_*(D_I)) = k$.

Proof.
- When $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, clearly from the formula for $var_I(b_l e_l)$ we have that $var_I = 0$ and (8) is an extension of two factors of the following form:

$$
\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{I}}: \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \xrightarrow{0} & 0 \\
& {\scriptstyle 0} \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\
& \mathbb{C}^k &
\end{array}
\qquad \text{and} \qquad
\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{I}}: \quad
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{C}^k & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{C}^k \\
& {\scriptstyle 0} \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\
& 0 &
\end{array}
$$

$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{I}}$ can be decomposed into k objects of the form



$\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{I}}$ can be decomposed into k objects of the form



We have, in total, $\underline{2k \text{ decomposition factors}}$. It should be noted that this proves the theorem for the case $k = n$.

- When $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i \neq 1$, var_I is given by (9) and there are k decomposition factors of (8), all of the form:



We have, in total $\underline{k \text{ decomposition factors}}$.

□

3.2.3. *Decomposition of* ${}^{p}j_{*}{}^{2}D_{II}$. Assume now that $k < n$, so that D_{II} is non-trivial. We use the description of the functor ${}^{p}j_{*}^{2}$ in Lemma 2.8 again.

Lemma 3.6. *Apply* ${}^{p}j_{*}^{2}$ *to* D_{II}. *Then:*

- $\psi(D_{II}) : coker(A \xrightarrow{0,\dots,0,a_{k+1}-1,\dots a_n-1} 0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus B_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus B_n) = \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1} = \langle e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$;
- $\psi_c(D_{II}) : ker(0 \oplus \dots \oplus 0 \oplus B_{k+1} \oplus \dots \oplus B_n \xrightarrow{0,\dots,0,a_{k+1}-1,\dots a_n-1} A) = \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1} = \langle e_{k+1}, \dots, e_{n-1} \rangle$:
- $var_{II}(e_l) : (-\Sigma_{i=l+1}^{l+n} p_i a_{i-1} \dots a_{l+1} e_i) + \Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i e_l - e_l$, *for* $l = k+1, \dots, n-1$.



Note that there is a choice of basis in the lemma. It follows that we have that ${}^p j^2_*(D_{II})$ is an extension of the following two diagrams:

$$\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{II}}: \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad 0 \quad} & 0 \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}/im(var_{II}) & \end{array}$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{II}}: \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad var_{II} \quad} & \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1} \\ & \searrow \quad \nearrow & \\ & im(var_{II}) & \end{array} .$$

Lemma 3.7. *Assume that* $a_1, \ldots, a_k = 1$, $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_n \neq 1$ *and* $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$. *Then,* $c(\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{II}}) = n-k-2$ *and* $c(\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{II}}) = 1$. *Furthermore, if* $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i \neq 1$, *then* ${}^p j^2_*(D_{II})$ *is irreducible.*

In order to prove this lemma we need the following result.

Lemma 3.8. *Assume that* $\Pi_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$, *and let* A *be the matrix that represents* var_{II}. *Then* $rank(A) = 1$.

Proof. Set $\beta_k^n = \Pi_{i=k}^n a_i$. Recall the description (6) of the elements of the matrix that represents var. The matrix A has $n-k-1$ rows and columns. We are going to apply the Gauss elimination to the rows of A in order to obtain its rank. For the matrix A, we have:

- $d_{11} = -1 + \beta_{k+2}^n$;
- $d_{1j} = (1 - a_{k+1})\beta_{c+k+1}^n$.

Since we assumed $a_1, \ldots, a_k = 1$, $a_{k+1}, \ldots, a_n \neq 1$ and $\beta_1^n = 1$, we observe that $\beta_{k+1}^n = 1$. We can conclude that $\beta_{k+2}^n \neq 1$ and that $a_{k+1} = 1/\beta_{k+2}^n$. We proceed in the following way:

(1) Multiply the first row by $1/(-1+\beta_{k+2}^n)$. Then $d_{11} = 1$ and

$$d_{1j} = \frac{(1-a_{k+1})\beta_{c+k+1}^n}{-1+\beta_{k+2}^n} = \frac{(1-1/\beta_{k+2}^n)\beta_{c+k+1}^n}{-1+\beta_{k+2}^n} =$$
$$= \left(\frac{\beta_{k+2}^n - 1}{\beta_{k+2}^n}\right)\beta_{c+k+1}^n \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^n - 1} = \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}$$

(2) Now we want to cancel all the elements in the first column of A. In each step, multiply the first row by $(a_{r+k}-1)\beta_{k+2}^{r+k-1}$, for $r = 1, \ldots, n-k-1$, and sum it to the correspondent row. We have 3 possible results:
   - if we sum to an element d_{rc}, such that $r < c$, given by $(1-a_{r+k})\beta_{k+1}^{r+k-1}\beta_{c+k+1}^n$, the result is:

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}(a_{r+k}-1)\beta_{k+2}^{r+k-1} + (1-a_{r+k})\beta_{k+1}^{r+k-1}\beta_{c+k+1}^n =$$

$$= (\beta_{k+2}^{r+k-1})(1-a_{r+k})\left(a_{k+1}\beta_{c+k+1}^{n} - \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}\right) =$$

$$= (\beta_{k+2}^{r+k-1})(1-a_{r+k})\left[\left(\beta_{k+1}^{n}-1\right)\frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}\right] = 0$$

- if we sum to an element d_{rc}, such that $r = c$, given by $-1 + (\beta_{k+1}^{n}/a_{c+k})$, the result is:

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}(a_{c+k}-1)\beta_{k+2}^{c+k-1} + (-1+\beta_{k+1}^{n}/a_{c+k}) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{c+k}}(a_{c+k}-1) - 1 + \frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{n}}\beta_{k+2}^{n}/a_{c+k} =$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{c+k}}(a_{c+k}-1) - 1 + \frac{1}{a_{c+k}} = 0$$

- if we sum to an element d_{rc}, such that $r > c$, given by $(1-a_{r+k})\beta_{c+k+1}^{r+k-1}$, the result is:

$$\frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}(a_{r+k}-1)\beta_{k+2}^{r+k-1} + (1-a_{r+k})\beta_{c+k+1}^{r+k-1} =$$

$$= (a_{r+k}-1)\beta_{c+k+1}^{r+k-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta_{k+2}^{c+k}}\beta_{k+2}^{c+k} - 1\right) = 0$$

We observe that, except for the first row, all the elements of the matrix A are canceled. Therefore $rank(A) = 1$. □

Proof. (of Lemma 3.7) We observe that, according to the irreducibility criterion, the diagram $\mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$ is irreducible.

The object represented by diagram $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$ has $dim(\mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}/im(var_{II}))$ decomposition factors. Since $rankA = dim(im(var_{II})) = 1$, we conclude that $dim(\mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}/im(var_{II})) = n-k-2$. Hence, the diagram $\mathcal{D}'_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$ can be decomposed into $n-k-2$ factors.

Finally, if $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i \neq 1$, then a determinant computation entirely similar to the one in Lemma 3.1 shows that var_{II} is invertible and hence that ${}^{p}j_*^2(D_{II}) = \mathcal{D}''_{\mathcal{I}\mathcal{I}}$ is irreducible. □

Proof. (of Theorem 3.2) The case when $k = n$ was treated in 3.2.2 above. From Lemma 3.5 and Lemma 3.7, we get

- If $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i = 1$ then $c(Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a) = 2k + (n-k-2) + 1 = n+k-1$.
- If $\Pi_{i=1}^{n} a_i \neq 1$ then $c(Rj_*\mathcal{L}_a) = k+1$.

This finishes the proof.

□

References

[1] T. Abebaw and R. Bøgvad, *Decomposition of D-modules over a hyperplane arrangement in the plane*, Arkiv f. Mat., 48, No. 2, 2010, 211-229;

[2] A. A. Beilinson, J. Bernstein and P. Deligne, *Faisceaux Pervers*, Astérisque, **100**, Soc. Math. France (1983);

[3] N. Budur, Y. Liu, L. Saumell and B. Wang, *Cohomology Support Locci of Local Systems*, **arXiv:1511.080113v1**;

[4] A. Dimca. *Sheaves in Topology*, Universititext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003;

[5] A. Galligo, M. Granger, P. Maisonobe, *D-modules et faisceaux pervers dont le support singulier est un croisement normal*, Ann. Inst. Fourier Grenoble, 35, I, 1-48 (1985);

[6] I. Gonçalves, *Direct Images of Locally Constant Sheaves on Complements to Plane Line Arrangements*, Licenciate Thesis, Stockholm, 2015;

[7] M. Granger and P. Maisonobe, *Faisceaux pervers relativement à un point de rebroussement*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 299, Série 1, n. 12, 1984;

[8] R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen, *Construction Elémentaire des Faisceaux Pervers*, Comptes rendus, 299, series 1, 1984, 443-446;

[9] R. MacPherson and K. Vilonen, *Elementary construction of perverse sheaves*, Invent. Math. **84** (1986), 403-435;

[10] R.D. MacPherson, K. Vilonen, *Perverse sheaves with singularities along the curve* $y^n = x^m$, Comment. Math. Helv.**63** (1988), 89-102;

[11] D. B. Massey, *Notes on Perverse sheaves and Vanishing Cycles*, **arXiv:math/9908107v6**;

[12] K. Rietsch, *An Introduction to Perverse Sheaves*, **arXiv:math/0307349v1**;

[13] K. Vilonen, *Perverse sheaves and finite dimensional algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc., **341**(1994), no.2, 665-676.

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: rikard@math.su.se

Department of Mathematics, Stockholm University, SE-106 91 Stockholm, Sweden

E-mail address: iara@math.su.se